

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/705,679	MCBRIDE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Mohammad Ali	2166

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Mohammad Ali.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Attorney, Alexander Detschel No. 50,261.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 May 2006

**Time:** 2:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*NONE*

Claims discussed:

*1, 9, 20, and 29-35*

Prior art documents discussed:

*NONE*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A telephone was made to applicant's representative about the potential amendment in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)